HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI G.S. SINGHVI

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. BHAVANI PRASAD

Writ Appeal No.430 of 2006

Between:

P. Sandhya Kumari … Appellant

And

The Special Officer and Competent Authority,

Urban Land Ceiling, III Floor, Chandra Vihar,

Nampally, Hyderabad.

… Respondents

::JUDGMENT::

Counsel for the appellant: Sri Hari Sreedhar, Advocate for Sri Tarlada Rajasekhara Rao, Advocate

Counsel for the respondents: Government Pleader for Revenue

April 24, 2006

Per G.S. Singhvi, CJ

This appeal is directed against order dated 31-3-2006 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby he dismissed the writ petition filed by the appellant questioning the legality of notification dated 6-5-2005 on the ground of availability of alternative remedy under Section 33 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976.

Learned counsel for the parties agree that the question raised in this appeal is identical to the one raised in Writ Appeal No.428 of 2006.

By a separate order passed today, we have allowed Writ Appeal No.428 of 2006 and remanded the case to the learned Single Judge for disposal of the writ petition on merits. Therefore, this appeal is also allowed in similar terms. The detailed reasons recorded in the order passed in Writ Appeal No.428 of 2006 shall be read as part of this order.

G.S. SINGHVI, CJ

G. BHAVANI PRASAD, J

April 24, 2006

svs